

Message

---

**From:** Froede, Carl [Froede.Carl@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 5/6/2021 6:45:28 PM  
**To:** Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: OREM Mercury Management Approach 1.0 ca .docx

Hi Cathy,

I agree with your mark-up comments. I have nothing else to add other than I will be surprised if DOE actually builds a mercury treatment ion-exchange plant at the EMDF to manage the discharge of mercury.

The DRAT call ended without any other issues being identified. Eric expressed great interest in tomorrow's EIT meeting as I'm sure he will probably call Roger following the meeting to learn more.

Thanks for your help with the DRAT call today. Just so you know – I can live with whatever you may need to negotiate tomorrow regarding a possible Fall RAD sampling effort. I want a commitment from DOE to do the work but know that DOE will NOT unless the fish are high in RAD contamination. They will not want to conduct a Fall sampling event and Shelly's statement that she believes DOE is committed to doing a Fall sampling event if necessary is not correct. We need it in writing in the SAP.

However, it may be time to cut and run so if you need to step back from making Fall mandatory then I will support you. We are getting 99% of what we wanted in the Spring sampling effort so I am a happy camper.

I hope that your EIT meeting goes well for you tomorrow.

We should get the new SAP around lunchtime tomorrow (according to what Annette told us toward the end of today's DRAT call. I'll get to it when I return to the office on Monday.

Hope that you can have an enjoyable weekend,

Carl

---

**From:** Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 6, 2021 1:02 PM  
**To:** Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** OREM Mercury Management Approach 1.0 ca .docx

Do you have any input on this? Will be discussed at the EIT tomorrow.